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## Letter from Birmingham City Jail

**Author:** Martin Luther King, Jr. (1929-1968)

**First published:** 1963

**Type of work:** Social criticism

*Letter from Birmingham City Jail* is perhaps the finest literary achievement of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. It is indeed the most profound defense of his nonviolent program for the Civil Rights movement in the United States. Early in 1963, African American leaders in Birmingham, Alabama, had invited King to lead a local demonstration against segregation. King led a nonviolent protest march that resulted in his arrest on Good Friday, April 12, 1963.

The following day, a full-page advertisement, “A Call for Unity” that was signed by eight white clergy, appeared in the *Birmingham News*, challenging the appropriateness of King’s “outside” involvement, questioning the necessity of demonstrations, and calling for “negotiation” instead. King responded with what came to be called *Letter from Birmingham City Jail*, which he had written on the margins of the newspaper and on toilet paper and had smuggled from the...
jail. After eight days of incarceration, King was released. His letter was subsequently published in several periodicals. The events of Birmingham (owing in part to the effectiveness of King’s letter) proved to be turning points in the Civil Rights movement.

King’s article-length letter opens with a brief introduction that establishes a firm but irenic, or moderate, tone. Though jailed unjustly, King does not lash out angrily at his critics. Instead, he addresses them in disarming fashion, characterizing them as sincere men of “good will.” After this introduction, King answers one by one the charges that had been leveled against him by the eight ministers, the first criticism being that he was an outsider meddling in local affairs. He explains that his role as president of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference demands that he assist local organizations that call upon him. Second, he argues that his work is like that of the biblical prophets and apostles who had traveled far afield to challenge injustice and to bring the “gospel of freedom.” Third, he cites the principle of corporate solidarity, pointing out that the United States is a single nation whose citizens are bound in purpose and future. He states profoundly, “Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere.”

King then takes exception to the idea that demonstrations are too unsettling and that patient negotiation with political leaders would be a more acceptable path to racial equality. He admits that direct action disturbs the community, but he insists that segregation and racial prejudice are even more disturbing. He provides ample details to show that racial injustice is an ongoing evil in Birmingham. King writes that negotiation is in fact his goal but that demonstrations are necessary to create the tension that forces the issues into negotiation. His own experience and the testimony of history show that “freedom is never voluntarily given by the oppressor.” With a staggering flurry of examples, he illustrates the suffering of African Americans and insists that waiting for a more convenient season is not a viable option. The reader is forced to concede that serious injustices must be addressed without delay.

Next, in answering the charge that he and his fellow demonstrators are law breakers, King offers a defense of civil disobedience that stands in the tradition of Henry David Thoreau and Mahatma Gandhi. With impeccable logic he cites well-known philosophers and theologians to show the difference between just laws and unjust laws. Furthermore, he applauds famous examples of civil disobedience from the Bible and from history. He closes this section by starkly contrasting the legal but immoral acts of Adolf Hitler with the illegal but commendable acts of those who aided European Jews before and during World War II.

King follows up his logical argument for civil disobedience with a more personal and emotional appeal to the “moderates” who stand for equality in principle but who are unwilling to support direct actions that disturb the status quo. He pleads for immediate and concrete moves toward justice and racial integration. He refuses the label of “extremist,” claiming that he stands in the middle between two extremes in the African American community. He insists that his position is more advantageous than either complacent acquiescence or violent activism. King, however, is willing to own the label of “extremist” when it registers his identification with other important extremist persons such as Jesus Christ, Saint Paul, Martin Luther, John Bunyan, Abraham Lincoln, and Thomas Jefferson.

More than moderates in general, it is the white church that disappoints King. He reveals his painful experiences of rejection that resulted in his disillusionment with white Christianity. He deduces that, unlike the early church, the present church is “a weak, ineffectual voice with an uncertain sound.” Appealing to its conscience, its sense of right and wrong, and to divine will, King challenges the church to participate actively and wholeheartedly in the historic African American freedom movement. The rhetoric of this section (like other parts of the letter) reflects King’s roots in the tradition of African American preaching. Although it is a written document, the letter is quite similar in style to oral discourse: the use of repetition, stock phrases, affective language, and figurative language. King shows himself to be a master of oral technique. The figures of speech, the emotional tone, and the large number of concrete examples invite the reader to enter King’s world and to participate in his vision.

In a final point of disagreement with the eight clergymen, King chides them for their naïve commendation of Birmingham police. According to King, the police should not be commended, because in spite of their restraint they had mistreated a number of men, women, and children during and after the demonstration. Instead of praising the police, King praises the brave demonstrators who endured ridicule, remained calm in the face of provocation, and in some cases suffered personal injury. As in earlier parts of the letter, King names specific persons who are worthy of the title heroes.

In conclusion, King apologizes for writing such a lengthy letter. He begs forgiveness from his critics if he has overstated his case and from God if he has understated it. Finally, King offers a brief word of conciliatory hope toward his opponents, reaching out the hand of friendship to his fellow ministers. His optimistic vision for the future, his trust in democracy, and his confidence in the indomitable character of his people are evident here and throughout the letter.
King’s most remarkable rhetorical accomplishment in Letter from Birmingham City Jail is its effective tone. He consistently maintains an astonishing balance between measured restraint and constant pressure, between humility and boldness. His repeated references to his imprisonment and to the suffering of the African American community create in the reader a consciousness of injustice and deep sympathy for the marginalized.

However, King never appears to be seeking special treatment or pity; he asks only for justice and equality. He displays no air of superiority, but neither does he cower in fearful hesitancy. Imprisoned, he writes with the mood of a free man. Denounced, he responds with charity toward his detractors. Without status, he writes with statesmanlike moral authority. Finally, the letter reflects seasoned theological reflection, a fully developed philosophy, and a sophisticated understanding of social and political realities.

Lee Roy Martin

Further Reading
Tiefenbrun, Susan. “Semiotics and Martin Luther King’s ‘Letter from Birmingham Jail.’” Cardozo Studies in Law and Literature 4, no. 2 (Autumn, 1992): 255-287. An advanced study that utilizes linguistic approaches. Tiefenbrun argues convincingly that King’s primary rhetorical strategy is based on the semiotic principle of similarity and difference.

Letters from an American Farmer

Author: Michel-Guillaume Jean de Crèvecoeur (1735-1813)
First published: 1782
Type of work: Essays

When, in 1759, Voltaire published his Candide: Ou, L’Optimisme (Candide: Or, All for the Best, 1759), Michel-Guillaume Jean de Crèvecoeur was already planning to cultivate his garden hewn out of the Pennsylvania frontier. Like Voltaire’s naïve hero, Crèvecoeur had seen too much of the horrors of the civilized world and was more than ready to retire to his bucolic paradise, where for nineteen years he lived in peace and happiness until the civilized world intruded on him and his family with the outbreak of the American Revolution.

The twelve essays that make up his Letters from an American Farmer are, ostensibly at least, the product of a hand unfamiliar with the pen. The opening letter presents the central theme quite clearly: The decadence of European civilization